



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

# Negative energy balance in a male songbird, the Abert's Towhee, constrains the testicular endocrine response to luteinizing hormone stimulation

### Citation for published version:

Davies, S, Gao, S, Valle, S, Bittner, S, Hutton, P, Meddle, SL & Deviche, P 2015, 'Negative energy balance in a male songbird, the Abert's Towhee, constrains the testicular endocrine response to luteinizing hormone stimulation', *Journal of Experimental Biology*, vol. 218, no. 17, pp. 2685-2693.  
<https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.123042>

### Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1242/dev.123042](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.123042)

### Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

### Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

### Published In:

Journal of Experimental Biology

### Publisher Rights Statement:

© 2015. Published by The Company of Biologists Ltd

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

### General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



**Negative energy balance in a male songbird, the Abert's Towhee, constrains the  
testicular endocrine response to luteinizing hormone stimulation**

Scott Davies \* <sup>a,b</sup>, Sisi Gao <sup>a</sup>, Shelley Valle <sup>a</sup>, Stephanie Bittner <sup>a</sup>, Pierce Hutton <sup>a</sup>, Simone L.  
Meddle <sup>c</sup>, and Pierre Deviche <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA*

<sup>b</sup> *Present address: Department of Biological Sciences, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061,  
USA*

<sup>c</sup> *The Roslin Institute, The Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, The University of  
Edinburgh, Easter Bush, Midlothian EH25 9RG, Scotland, UK*

\* Corresponding author: Scott.Davies@asu.edu

## Abstract

Energy deficiency can suppress reproductive functions in vertebrates. As the orchestrator of reproductive function, endocrine activity of the hypothalamo-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis is potentially an important mechanism mediating such effects. Previous experiments in wild-caught birds found inconsistent relationships between energy deficiency and seasonal reproductive function, but these experiments focused on baseline HPG axis activity and none has investigated the responsiveness of this axis to endocrine stimulation. Here, we present data from an experiment in Abert's Towhees, *Melospiza aberti*, using gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) and luteinizing hormone (LH) challenges to investigate whether energy deficiency modulates the plasma testosterone (T) responsiveness of the HPG axis. Wild-caught birds were either *ad libitum*-fed or energetically constrained via chronic food restriction during photoinduced reproductive development. Energy deficiency did not significantly affect the development of reproductive morphology, the baseline endocrine activity of the HPG axis, or the plasma T response to GnRH challenge. Energy deficiency did, however, decrease the plasma T responsiveness to LH challenge. Collectively, these observations suggest that energy deficiency has direct gonadal effects consisting in decreased responsiveness to LH stimulation. Our study, therefore, reveals a mechanism by which energy deficiency modulates reproductive function in wild birds in the absence of detectable effects on baseline HPG axis activity.

## Summary statement

Energy deficiency in an adult male songbird had no detectable effect on the development of reproductive morphology, but constrained the endocrine responsiveness of the testes.

## Keywords

Food restriction; Luteinizing hormone; Passerine; Photoperiod; Reproductive development; Testosterone.

## Introduction

During prolonged periods of energy deficiency (i.e., when energy expenditure exceeds energy intake), animals prioritize investment of energy in the requirements for survival over investment in reproductive processes (Lack, 1954; Gittleman and Thompson, 1988; Hill et al., 2008). For example, negative energy balance can suppress reproductive cyclicity in mammals (Parfitt et al., 1991; De Souza et al., 1998; Klentrou and Plyley, 2003; Hill et al., 2008), and can also suppress reproductive function in fish (Roff, 1983), reptiles (Ford and Seigel, 1989), and birds (Hahn et al., 2005). However, the mechanisms responsible for suppression of reproductive function during times of energy deficiency in non-mammalian vertebrates are poorly understood.

Reproduction is regulated by the activity of the hypothalamo-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis, beginning with the release of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) from the hypothalamus (Sharp, 2005; Zohar et al., 2010; Clarke, 2011). This neuropeptide stimulates the secretion of luteinizing hormone (LH) from the anterior pituitary gland (Kuenzel, 2000; Zohar et al., 2010; Clarke, 2011). In males, LH stimulates gonadal growth, gametogenesis, and the synthesis and secretion of testosterone (T; Murton and Westwood, 1977). Testosterone regulates a variety of male reproductive characteristics, such as the development of primary (Kempnaers et al., 2008) and secondary sexual characteristics (Buchanan et al., 2003), courtship, and aggression towards conspecific males (Hegner and Wingfield, 1987; Landys et al., 2010).

Food restriction of captive animals has often been used to investigate the effects of negative energetic balance (Hahn et al., 2005; Davies and Deviche, 2014). In birds, studies using this manipulation have provided evidence for a multitude of effects on the HPG axis, including the synthesis and/or secretion of GnRH (Ciccone et al., 2007), LH (Hahn, 1995; Kobayashi et al., 2002), and T (Richard-Yris et al., 1987; Pérez-Rodríguez et al., 2006), and testis growth (O'Brien and Hau, 2005; Perfito et al., 2008). However, data remain sparse and are primarily drawn from domesticated species, and it is, therefore, unclear whether results can be extended to wild birds.

In many animals, the activity of the HPG axis changes seasonally such that the gonads recrudescence at the beginning of each breeding period and regress at the end (Murton and Westwood, 1977; Silverin, 1984; Silverin et al., 1997; Dawson, 2008). Because photoperiod (day length) often forecasts environmental conditions at a given location, many seasonal breeders use the vernal increase in photoperiod as the primary cue to stimulate GnRH secretion and initiate gonadal development (Farner and Wingfield, 1980; Dawson et al.,

2001; Wingfield, 2008). As changes in day length at a given latitude are constant from year to year, the activity of the HPG axis can still be modulated by non-photoperiodic cues, such as ambient temperature (Dawson, 2005; Schaper et al., 2012b) and food availability (Wingfield and Kenagy, 1986; Hahn et al., 2005; Davies and Deviche, 2014). The use of these cues is thought to improve the synchronization of reproductive development and behaviours with optimal environmental conditions in a given year (Baker, 1938; Lack, 1968; Bronson and Heideman, 1994). However, the physiological mechanisms by which non-photoperiodic cues fine-tune seasonal activity of the HPG axis remain elusive.

The measurement of baseline (pre-challenge) plasma levels of reproductive hormones can provide information about the effects of energetic balance on HPG axis activity, but these effects can be masked by high intra-individual variation in baseline hormone levels (Jawor et al., 2006; McGlothlin et al., 2010). A complementary approach to determine the functionality of the HPG axis is to measure changes in plasma T in response to an endocrine challenge such as a standardized LH or GnRH injection (Deviche et al., 2012a). This approach may elucidate how negative energetic balance suppresses activity of the HPG axis for several reasons. First, unlike pre-challenge plasma T, post-challenge plasma T levels are individually repeatable (Jawor et al., 2006), potentially revealing effects of energetic status that would otherwise be masked by high intra-individual variation. Second, the plasma T response to GnRH challenge parallels the seasonal change in baseline plasma T (Hirschenhauser et al., 2003; Jawor et al., 2006; DeVries et al., 2011), and a range of supplementary environmental cues, such as the availability of preferred food types (Hau et al., 2000; Watts and Hahn, 2012), precipitation (Small et al., 2008a), and song (Wingfield and Wada, 1989; Small et al., 2008b), can rapidly elicit an increase in endocrine activity of the HPG axis. Therefore, the responsiveness to endocrine challenges is biologically meaningful. To our knowledge, only one other study has used this approach to examine the regulation of HPG axis activity in response to negative energetic balance in a wild-caught bird. In this study on House Finches, *Haemorrhous mexicanus*, food restriction constrained testicular growth and the photoinduced rise in plasma T, but did not detectably influence the plasma T response to GnRH or LH challenge (Valle et al., unpublished). In Abert's Towhees, *Melospiza aberti* (Baird), negative energetic status likewise constrained the photoinduced rise in plasma T, but, unlike in the House Finch, this treatment had no effect on testicular growth (Davies et al., 2015a). Collectively, the findings of these two studies suggest species-specific responses of the HPG axis to energy deficiency.

Here, we used GnRH and LH challenges to investigate the effect of negative energetic balance on reproductive function in the Abert's Towhee. This species is a sedentary sparrow found in riparian habitat throughout the Sonoran desert. The timing of breeding in towhees depends on precipitation and other non-photoperiodic environmental cues (Tweit and Finch, 1994). The Abert's Towhee, therefore, is particularly appropriate for studies aimed at examining how non-photic factors, such as food availability, modulate activity of the HPG axis. Wild-caught male towhees held in captivity were energetically constrained via chronic food restriction. To verify that experimental birds were in negative energetic balance, we measured body mass, energy stores (as estimated by fat stores and pectoral muscle size), and the motivation of birds to forage during giving-up density (GUD) trials, which determine how depleted a patch of food must become before an animal ceases foraging (Lerman et al., 2012). The HPG axis activity of food-restricted and *ad libitum*-fed (control) birds was assessed by determining their baseline plasma LH and T, as well as plasma T following separate GnRH and LH challenges. We predicted that negative energetic balance would attenuate pre-challenge as well as post-challenge plasma T. Finally, we tested the hypothesis that the later, but not the early, stages of reproductive development are sensitive to energetic status by measuring pre-challenge plasma LH and T and challenge-induced plasma T in birds exposed to short or long day lengths.

## Results

### *Body mass*

Body mass was affected by food availability ( $F_{1,16} = 15.33$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), time ( $F_{2,32} = 127.22$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), and the interaction between these factors ( $F_{2,32} = 121.50$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; Fig. 1). Body mass of *ad libitum*-fed birds was similar throughout the experiment (Tukey HSD,  $P > 0.05$ ), whereas the body mass of food-restricted birds decreased after two weeks (Tukey HSD,  $P < 0.05$ ), and remained at the reduced mass for the duration of the study.

### *Fat score*

Furcular fat score was affected by food availability ( $F_{1,16} = 10.06$ ,  $P = 0.006$ ), time ( $F_{2,32} = 61.65$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) and the interaction between these two factors ( $F_{2,32} = 6.62$ ,  $P = 0.004$ ; Fig. 1). Fat scores of birds in both treatment groups decreased over the first two weeks of the study, but the magnitude of decrease was greater in food-restricted than in *ad libitum*-fed birds (Tukey HSD,  $P < 0.05$ ). For the remainder of the study, fat scores did not change further in birds in either group (Tukey HSD,  $P > 0.05$ ).

### ***Pectoral muscle score***

Pectoral muscle score was affected by food availability ( $F_{1,16} = 138.87$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), time ( $F_{2,32} = 51.53$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) and the interaction between these two factors ( $F_{2,32} = 51.53$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; Fig. 1). Muscle score of *ad libitum*-fed birds was similar throughout the experiment (Tukey HSD,  $P < 0.05$ ). Muscle score of food-restricted birds decreased after two weeks and remained at the reduced level for the duration of the study (Tukey HSD,  $P > 0.05$ ).

### ***Cloacal protuberance width***

Cloacal protuberance width increased over the duration of the study ( $F_{2,32} = 19.03$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), but was not significantly affected by food availability ( $F_{1,16} = 1.02$ ,  $P = 0.33$ ) or the interaction between this factor and time ( $F_{2,32} = 1.13$ ,  $P = 0.34$ ; Fig. 1). Post hoc analysis showed that cloacal protuberance width increased between pre-restriction and exposure to short days (SD), and again between when birds were exposed to SD and long days (LD; Tukey HSD,  $P < 0.05$ ).

### ***Giving-up density (GUD)***

Food-restricted birds consumed more food (i.e., had lower GUDs) than *ad libitum*-fed birds (*ad libitum*:  $0.59 \pm 0.17$  g; food-restricted:  $1.52 \pm 0.13$  g;  $t_{16} = -4.34$ ,  $P = 0.0005$ ).

### ***Effects of Photoperiod and Food Availability on baseline plasma LH and T***

Transfer from SD to LD increased pre-challenge plasma LH and T in both *ad libitum*-fed and food-restricted birds (LH:  $F_{1,16} = 7.23$ ,  $P = 0.016$ ; T:  $F_{1,16} = 13.94$ ,  $P = 0.002$ ; Fig. 2). However, there was neither a significant effect of food availability on pre-challenge plasma levels of these hormones (LH:  $F_{1,16} = 3.13$ ,  $P = 0.096$ ; T:  $F_{1,16} = 0.09$ ,  $P = 0.77$ ; Fig. 2) nor a significant interaction between photoperiod and food availability (LH:  $F_{1,16} = 1.28$ ,  $P = 0.28$ ; T:  $F_{1,16} = 2.25$ ,  $P = 0.15$ ; Fig. 2).

### ***Effects of GnRH challenge on plasma T***

Plasma T was affected by the two-way interactions between GnRH challenge and food availability ( $F_{1,16} = 10.34$ ,  $P = 0.005$ ), and GnRH challenge and photoperiod ( $F_{1,16} = 11.86$ ,  $P = 0.003$ ; Fig. 3). Under SD, GnRH challenge significantly increased plasma T in *ad libitum*-fed birds, but not in food-restricted birds. Under LD, GnRH challenge increased plasma T by a similar degree in both *ad libitum*-fed and food-restricted birds. GnRH challenge increased plasma T in *ad libitum*-fed and food-restricted LD-exposed birds to a higher level compared to *ad libitum*-fed and food-restricted SD-exposed birds.



### ***Effects of LH challenge on plasma T***

There was an effect of the three-way interaction between photoperiod, food availability, and LH challenge on plasma T ( $F_{1,16} = 7.35$ ,  $P = 0.015$ ; Fig. 3). Under SD, LH challenge elicited a significant increase in plasma T in *ad libitum*-fed birds, but not food-restricted birds. By contrast, LH challenge during LD increased plasma T in both *ad libitum*-fed and food-restricted birds. However, the magnitude of the increase was significantly higher in *ad libitum*-fed than food-restricted birds.

### ***Correlations between LH- and GnRH challenge-induced change in plasma T in long day birds***

The increase in plasma T induced by GnRH challenge was correlated with the increase in plasma T induced by LH challenge in LD-exposed *ad libitum*-fed birds ( $R^2 = 0.94$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ ; Fig. 4), but not in LD-exposed food-restricted birds ( $R^2 = 0.12$ ,  $P = 0.36$ ; Fig. 4).

## **Discussion**

In mammals, the HPG axis is pivotal to adjust the timing of seasonal reproductive development and suppresses reproductive function during periods of energetic hardship (Bronson and Heideman, 1994; Hill et al., 2008). The mechanisms responsible for suppression of reproductive function during negative energy balance in non-mammalian vertebrates, including birds, are poorly understood (Hahn et al., 2005; Davies and Deviche, 2014). To that end, we used chronic food restriction of captive adult male Abert's Towhees to test the hypothesis that energetic status modulates plasma levels of reproductive hormones and development of reproductive morphology. Furthermore, since endocrine challenges potentially expose inter-individual differences in HPG axis function that are otherwise obscured by intra-individual variation in plasma hormone levels (Jawor et al., 2006; McGlothlin et al., 2010), we also examined whether the plasma T response to GnRH or LH challenge was constrained by negative energy balance. We verified that food restriction treatment was effective in reducing body mass and energy stores (as estimated by furcular fat stores and pectoral muscle size), which indicated that food-restricted birds were in negative energetic status. We also used a behavioural assay (GUD) to test if food restriction increased the motivation of towhees to forage from an artificial food patch. Consistent with this conclusion, food restriction decreased GUD, indicating that food-restricted birds were more motivated to forage than *ad libitum*-fed birds.



### ***Reproductive development and baseline endocrine activity***

As day lengths increase during the late winter and early spring, the HPG axis of photoperiodic birds is activated, resulting in gonad development, T secretion, and development of T-dependent secondary sexual characteristics (Dawson et al., 2001; Deviche et al., 2010). When transferred from SD to LD, towhees in the present study increased their pre-challenge plasma LH and T, and developed their cloacal protuberance, the size of which in this species positively correlates with testis size (Davies et al., 2015b). These data are consistent with the hypothesis that, similar to most species studied to date, Abert's Towhees are photoperiodic.

It is generally thought that the early stages of reproductive development in birds are chiefly regulated by photoperiod and are relatively insensitive to energetic status (Hahn et al., 2005), whereas the later stages of development are more sensitive to energetic status. Accordingly, most avian studies examine the effects of energetic status on the HPG axis during LD, and there are few experimental tests of whether the early stages of development are sensitive to energetic status. We, therefore, tested whether energetic status modulates HPG axis activity and development of reproductive morphology during SD as well as LD exposure. Contrary to our predictions, we found no evidence that negative energy balance caused by food restriction constrained baseline endocrine activity of the anterior pituitary gland or the testes. Likewise, we found no evidence that growth of the testes, as indicated by cloacal protuberance, was affected by energetic status.

Our finding that reproductive development was not significantly influenced by food restriction contrasts with findings from studies of domesticated avian species, in which food restriction or deprivation reduced plasma LH and follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH; Tanabe et al., 1981; Hoshino et al., 1988; Lal et al., 1990; Kobayashi and Ishii, 2002), and plasma oestradiol and progesterone (Tanabe et al., 1981; Hoshino et al., 1988). Furthermore, this treatment reduced ovary and testis mass (Tanabe et al., 1981; Kobayashi et al., 2002; Ciccone et al., 2007). Our findings are, however, more consistent with studies of wild-caught birds exposed to food restriction in captivity, which have revealed conflicting relationships between effects of this manipulation on body mass and condition, and testicular development. For example, the findings from studies in which food restriction reduced body mass are inconsistent. In both the European Starling, *Sturnus vulgaris*, and the House Finch, food restriction reduced photoinduced testicular growth (Dawson, 1986; Meijer, 1991; Valle et al., unpublished), whereas testicular growth of Abert's Towhees was unaffected by this treatment

(Davies et al., 2015a). Interestingly, testicular growth in starlings was decreased only when body mass was concurrently decreased, indicating that a decrease in body mass may be necessary for food restriction to negatively affect gonadal development (Dawson, 1986; Meijer, 1991). In contrast, some studies have found effects of food limitation even when body mass and fat stores were unaffected. For example, in the Garden Warbler, *Sylvia borin*, and the Zebra Finch, *Taeniopygia guttata*, food restriction constrained testicular growth, without affecting body mass (Bauchinger et al., 2008; Perfito et al., 2008). The body mass, fat score, and testicular growth of Red Crossbills, *Loxia curvirostra*, were also resistant to food restriction, but this treatment delayed the photoinduced plasma LH surge (Hahn, 1995). Taken together, these observations do not indicate a consistent causal relationship between body mass, energy reserves, and gonad development, and our results are consistent with this conclusion. In Abert's Towhees, chronic food restriction reduced body mass and energy reserves, as estimated by fat stores and pectoral muscle mass. However, this treatment did not attenuate the stimulatory effect of LD exposure on pre-challenge plasma LH and T, or cloacal protuberance width. Thus, the photoinduced reproductive development of male Abert's Towhees was not detectably affected by a reduction of food availability and associated decrease in energy reserves. If the same applies to free-ranging birds, it is predicted that vernal activation of the reproductive system in male Abert's Towhees is relatively independent of food supply (mostly terrestrial arthropods; Tweit and Finch, 1994) that these birds preferentially consume. Recent work comparing free-ranging urban and rural Abert's Towhees belonging to the same population as studied here support this prediction (S. Davies et al., unpublished). In this previous study, urban and rural habitats did not differ with respect to their terrestrial arthropod abundance, and urban and rural birds had similar body masses and fat reserves. Yet urban males developed their reproductive system earlier in the spring than non-urban males, suggesting in these birds that factors other than food availability and body condition determine population differences in the onset of vernal gonadal development. Given the results of studies on other species described above, further research examining the relationships between food availability, body condition, and photoinduced reproductive development in species with diverse life history characteristics are warranted to elucidate the bases of interspecific differences in the effects of food limitation on the vernal development of the reproductive system.

### ***Testicular endocrine responsiveness to hormone challenges***

Besides using an increase in photoperiod to initiate gonadal development, most free-ranging birds rely on multiple non-photoc factors, including food resources, to fine-tune the activity of their reproductive system and better synchronize this activity with environmental conditions (Lynn et al., 2010; Fokidis et al., 2013; Davies and Deviche, 2014). The neuroendocrine mechanisms that mediate the reproductive effects of non-photoc factors remain poorly known, especially in wild birds, and may involve actions at the hypothalamus (e.g., GnRH synthesis or secretion), pituitary gland (e.g., LH production or secretion), and/or gonad (e.g., T production or secretion) levels. To investigate this question, we compared the plasma T response of SD- and LD-exposed *ad libitum*-fed and food-restricted birds to GnRH or LH challenge.

A GnRH challenge stimulated T secretion and this effect, while more pronounced in LD- than SD-exposed birds, did not depend on whether birds were fed *ad libitum* or food-restricted. Hormone challenges have been more commonly used to assess the activity of the HPG axis in birds that are in breeding condition (Jawor et al., 2006; Jawor et al., 2007; McGlothlin et al., 2010) than in non-breeding condition. However, a GnRH challenge also increased plasma T in non-breeding Northern Cardinals, *Cardinalis cardinalis* (DeVries et al., 2011) and in photorefractory White-crowned Sparrows, *Zonotrichia leucophrys gambelii* (Wingfield et al., 1979). These results suggest that the anterior pituitary gland and gonads retain GnRH and LH receptors, respectively, outside of the breeding season, but the affinity and/or binding capacity of these receptors may increase as the breeding season approaches (DeVries et al., 2011). A LH challenge likewise increased plasma T, but, unlike a GnRH challenge, stimulated plasma T more in *ad libitum* than food-restricted birds. This difference was observed whether males were photostimulated or not, but the effect of food availability on the plasma T response to LH challenge was particularly pronounced in LD-exposed towhees. Indeed, *ad libitum*-fed and food-restricted LD-exposed towhees had similar plasma T before LH challenge, but after this treatment plasma T was more than twice as high in *ad libitum*-fed than in food-restricted birds. These results suggest that food restriction of towhees in breeding condition (i.e., photostimulated) constrains, but does not completely inhibit, the gonadal responsiveness to LH. Furthermore, we expected that the different levels of the HPG axis within a LD-exposed towhee would be synchronized such that the plasma T response to a GnRH challenge and a LH challenge would be correlated. This was the case in *ad libitum*-fed towhees. However, such synchronization was abolished by food restriction. In contrast to

the findings of the current study, a similar experiment in male House Finches found no evidence that food restriction influences the responsiveness of the HPG axis to endocrine stimulation (Valle et al., unpublished). This disparity between findings of the two studies suggests species-specific modulation of the activity of the HPG axis during periods of energy deficiency. Alternatively or in addition, differences between the results obtained in House Finches and in the present study may result from differences in experimental design and statistical analysis.

Collectively, these observations do not exclude the possibility that food restriction influenced the HPG axis at the pituitary gland level, but do demonstrate that negative energetic status caused by food restriction has direct gonadal effects consisting in decreased testicular sensitivity to the stimulatory action of LH. These findings suggest that, in male towhees, the basic pattern of photoinduced gonadal development and elevated T secretion may be insensitive to food limitation and a reduction in energy reserves. However, food restriction, especially during photostimulation, may attenuate the stimulatory effects of non-photic factors that are mediated by increased LH secretion, possibly via decreases in the number of gonadal LH receptors. An alternative, but not mutually exclusive, mechanism responsible for these effects is an increase in gonadally produced gonadotropin-inhibitory hormone (GnIH), the activity of which decreases gonadal T secretion and is responsive to metabolic signals (McGuire and Bentley, 2010; McGuire et al., 2013). We point out that our study was conducted on male birds, which are thought to commit less energy to reproduction than do females. We predict, therefore, that reproductive development and gonadal endocrine responsiveness of female birds are more likely to be constrained by negative energetic status.

Constraining the testicular endocrine responsiveness to stimulation in free-ranging birds may contribute to fine-tuning reproductive behaviors to the environmental conditions in a given year. Indeed, a decreased plasma T response to normally stimulatory non-photic factors would presumably also decrease the probability of food-limited birds expressing energetically costly T-dependent behavior such as singing and territory defense (Lynn et al., 2010) when energy supplies are limited and environmental conditions for successful breeding are not appropriate. In support of this proposition, spring snow storms, which likely made foraging more challenging, were associated with decreased plasma T and temporary abandonment of territories by free-ranging male Song Sparrows, *Melospiza melodia* (Wingfield, 1985). Conversely, a return to favorable food conditions may result in male birds increasing their testicular sensitivity to LH and, therefore, also becoming more responsive to non-photic stimulatory environmental cues other than food resources. In this situation,

increased T secretion may enhance the expression of reproductive behavior and the development of T-dependent secondary sexual characteristics (e.g., cloacal protuberance), altogether ultimately promoting earlier onset of breeding. These changes would also be adaptive because, within limits, early seasonal breeding in many species is associated with increased reproductive success (Davies and Deviche, 2014).

In summary, using endocrine challenges, we reveal a mechanism by which energy deficiency constrains reproductive function in wild birds. This constraint was observed in the absence of similar detectable constraints on the development of reproductive morphology or the baseline endocrine activity of the HPG axis. We suggest that this constraint on endocrine responsiveness provides a potential mechanism by which wild birds use food availability to synchronize reproductive function with optimal environmental conditions.

## Materials and Methods

### *Capture sites and captive conditions*

We collected eighteen adult male Abert's Towhees from the Tonto National Forest, Maricopa County, Arizona, USA between January 9<sup>th</sup> – 14<sup>th</sup>, 2014 (417 m above sea level; latitude: 33°32'N; longitude: 111°37'W). Birds were captured using conspecific playback and mist-nets. The length of the wing chord distinguished adult males ( $\geq 92$  mm) from adult females (Pyle, 1997). Upon capture, each bird received a numbered aluminium leg band and was transported to Arizona State University's Animal Care Facility where it was individually housed under a SD (10L:14D) photoperiod in visually isolated cages (76 cm L x 46 cm W x 46 cm H), received *ad libitum* water, and initially received *ad libitum* food (black oil sunflower seeds).

### *Food restriction and experimental design*

After all birds were transferred over 7 days to a maintenance diet (Mazuri small bird maintenance diet, PMI Nutrition International, Richmond, IN, USA), the daily food consumption of each individual was monitored for one week (Fig. 1). This was done by providing each bird with a known amount of food and measuring the amount that remained 24 hours later, both in the food bowl and on the cage floor. After this one week monitoring period, we randomly assigned birds to one of two treatment groups: (1) *ad libitum* food availability or (2) restricted food availability. Birds in the restricted group were given a food ration equal to 70% of their daily *ad libitum* food consumption. We selected this restriction regime based on previous studies on Abert's Towhees that demonstrated that a diet of 70% of

daily *ad libitum* consumption reduces body mass by approximately 15% (Davies et al., 2015a). In order to maintain a 15% reduction in body mass, we weighed birds daily to the nearest 0.5 g. If a bird's mass dropped below its target mass, we immediately fed it the difference (in grams) between its current mass and its target mass. All food-restricted birds reached their target mass after approximately 7 – 10 days of food restriction.

The experiment consisted of three phases (Fig. 1). In phase 1, we investigated the effects of GnRH and LH challenges (see below) on plasma T while birds were exposed to SD. In phase 2, we transferred birds to long days LD (16L:8D) and performed behavioural tests (GUD, see below). After three weeks of LD exposure, phase 3 entailed again investigating the effects of GnRH and LH challenges (see below). At the end of the experiment, all birds received *ad libitum* food for one week before being released at the capture site.

### ***Morphometrics***

Before food restriction and at the time of each challenge, the amount of furcular fat was visually estimated by assigning a score of 0 – 5 (a score of 0 representing no fat, 5 representing bulging fat deposits; Helms and Drury, 1960) and cloacal protuberance width ( $\pm$  0.1 mm), an androgen-dependent secondary sexual characteristic, was measured using digital callipers. The pectoral muscles in birds are the largest store of protein, and muscle protein can be converted into energy via gluconeogenesis. The size of the pectoral muscles was estimated on a scale ranging from 0 – 3 (0 representing concave pectoral muscles and a prominent keel, 3 representing convex pectoral muscles that protruded above the keel; Salvante et al., 2007).

### ***Blood samples and hormone treatments***

We investigated the effects of GnRH and LH challenges on plasma T while birds were exposed to SD (10<sup>th</sup> February 2014; phase 1) and then again to LD (phase 3; see below). For this, we collected a blood sample (200  $\mu$ l) from the right jugular vein into a heparinised microsyringe within 3 minutes of removing a bird from its home cage. Less than 3 minutes later, we gave one randomly assigned intrajugular injection of either synthetic GnRH-I (Sigma Chemical Co., MO, USA; 25  $\mu$ g/kg body mass) or freshly prepared ovine LH solution (The National Peptide and Hormone Program, Torrance, CA; 1 mg/kg body mass) dissolved in 100  $\mu$ l of sterile saline solution (0.9% NaCl). Birds were then placed into individual breathable cloth bags and bled again (200  $\mu$ l) 20 minutes later. The injection volumes, hormone concentrations, and times between injection and blood sample are similar to those of previous studies on passerines, which have successfully used these treatments to assess HPG



functionality (Jawor et al., 2006; McGlothlin et al., 2010; Deviche et al., 2012a). Blood samples were placed on ice immediately after collection and centrifuged within one hour. Plasma was harvested and stored at -80 °C until assayed for LH and T. One week later (17<sup>th</sup> February 2014) these procedures were repeated, but each bird received the opposite hormone treatment to the first week.

To determine the endocrine and morphological effects of LD exposure and to compare the effectiveness of GnRH and LH challenges in stimulating plasma T in SD- and LD-exposed birds, the above procedure was repeated one month later (10<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup> March 2014), after the birds had been exposed to LD for 3 weeks.

### ***Giving-up density (GUD) Trials***

Between phases 1 and 3 of the main experiment, we conducted a series of giving-up density (GUD) trials. Giving-up density examines how depleted a patch of food must become before an animal ceases foraging (Abu Baker and Brown, 2009; Lerman et al., 2012) and can be used to estimate hunger and the motivation to forage. We created a homogenous sand-food mixture using dry sand that was pre-strained of large grains using a wire mesh strainer. We thoroughly mixed the strained sand with the maintenance diet at a food:sand weight ratio equal to 1:150, which had previously been used to quantify GUD in free-ranging Abert's Towhees (Lerman et al., 2012).

We filled clear plastic trays (29 cm L x 18 cm W x 13 cm H) with approximately 4 cm of the sand-food mixture. Other studies on Abert's Towhees and other bird species used a similar depth of sand (Abu Baker and Brown, 2009; Lerman et al., 2012). Lerman et al. (2012) used 24 hour trials to quantify GUD of free-ranging Abert's Towhees, but these authors did not investigate captive, food-restricted birds. Out of concern that the food-restricted birds might consume all the food provided in their tray, we first tested the effects of 2, 4, 6 or 8 hour-long trials. Residual food was present in the trays at all time points, but little food was eaten after 2 or 4 hours. Therefore, for the GUD trials, we selected the intermediate time of 6 hours.

In order to train the birds to feed from the plastic trays, during the first week of LD exposure all birds were provided sand-filled trays scattered with a small amount of food for at least 24 hours. During the two days of GUD trials, we placed the filled trays in the birds' cages at 10 AM each day. Birds also received their normal food ration as described above. We collected the food remaining in each tray six hours later by separating it from sand using a wire mesh strainer, and then weighed it to the nearest milligram. The weight (g) of remaining food was used to calculate GUD.



### ***Hormone assays***

To quantify plasma LH, we used the radioimmunoassay described by Sharp et al. (1987) with slight modifications. This radioimmunoassay has been used to determine plasma LH in a many bird species (Lal et al., 1990; Lea et al., 1991; Malecki et al., 1998; Ciccone et al., 2007; Schaper et al., 2012a; Fraley et al., 2013), including multiple emberizid sparrows (Meddle et al., 2002; Deviche et al., 2008; Wingfield et al., 2012; Deviche et al., 2012a; Deviche et al., 2012b). Briefly, the reaction volume of 60  $\mu$ l was comprised of 20  $\mu$ l of plasma sample or standard, 20  $\mu$ l of primary rabbit LH antibody, and 20  $\mu$ l of I<sup>125</sup>-labelled purified chicken LH. The primary antibody was precipitated to separate free and bound I<sup>125</sup> label using 20  $\mu$ l of donkey anti-rabbit precipitating serum and 20  $\mu$ l of non-immune rabbit serum. All samples were assayed in duplicate and in a single assay, for which the intra-assay coefficient of variation was 3.6% and the minimum detectable concentration was 0.2 ng/ml. The post-GnRH challenge samples could not be assayed for LH.

We measured plasma T using a validated (Davies and Deviche, 2015) commercial enzyme-linked immunoassay following the manufacturer's recommendations (Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, NY, USA). Plasma was diluted 10x in assay buffer containing 1  $\mu$ l displacement reagent: 99  $\mu$ l plasma. Each of the four assay plates included a complete standard curve. Samples were assayed in duplicate in one assay and randomly assigned to plates, except that all the samples from a given towhee were assayed on the same plate. The assay sensitivity was 18.1 pg/ml and the inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation were 3.2% ( $n = 3$  samples assayed on each plate) and 6.7% ( $n = 154$  samples), respectively. The primary antibody used in this assay has less than 5% crossreactivity with 17 $\beta$ -estradiol, 5 $\alpha$ -dihydrotestosterone, corticosterone, and progesterone (manufacturer's specifications).

### ***Statistical analysis***

We statistically analyzed data using SPSS 21 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) and SigmaPlot 12.5 (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA) with  $\alpha = 0.05$ . We used repeated measures ANOVA (rmANOVA) to test whether food availability affected body mass, furcular fat score, pectoral muscle score, cloacal protuberance width, and pre-challenge plasma LH and T over the course of the study. For each of these variables, we used the average of the two measurements taken from a given bird during each phase of the experiment. To meet the assumptions of normality and equal variances, pectoral muscle score was ranked (Conover and Iman, 1981) and plasma LH and cloacal protuberance width were log-transformed before analysis. The effect of food availability on GUD was tested using a Student's t-test. The effect of food availability on the plasma T response to GnRH and LH

challenges were analyzed with rmANOVAs with daylength (short days vs. long days) and challenge (pre- vs. post-challenge) as within-subject factors. To meet the assumptions of normality and equal variances, all plasma T data were first log-transformed. Where appropriate, we followed all ANOVAs with Tukey's HSD tests for pairwise multiple comparisons. We used linear regression to test for an association between the change in plasma T in response to a challenge of GnRH vs. LH. Data are presented as untransformed means  $\pm$  standard errors of the mean (SEM).

We were unable to collect pre-challenge and post-challenge blood samples from two birds, resulting in 4 out of 72 samples missing from the data set. We estimated missing plasma LH and T values using multiple imputation (MI) and the NORM program (<http://sites.stat.psu.edu/~jls/misoftwa.html>; Schafer, 1999). As pointed out by other authors (Hill et al., 2003), MI is more appropriate than standard approaches (e.g., case deletion or replacement of missing values by group means) because it relies on a more plausible assumption (Little and Rubin, 2002), it properly accounts for uncertainty about missing values (leading to appropriate standard errors), and it retains original sample sizes.

#### **List of symbols and abbreviations**

FSH	Follicle-stimulating hormone
GnIH	Gonadotropin-inhibitory hormone
GnRH	Gonadotropin-releasing hormone
GUD	Giving-up density
HPG	Hypothalamo-pituitary-gonadal
LD	Long days
LH	Luteinizing hormone
SD	Short days
T	Testosterone

## **Acknowledgements**

All experimental procedures were approved by the Arizona State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and conducted under appropriate scientific collecting permits issued by the Arizona Game and Fish Department and the US Fish and Wildlife Service.

## **Conflict of interests**

We have no competing interests.

## **Authors' Contributions**

S.D., S.G., S.V., S.G., P.H., and P.D. designed the study, caught the birds, and conducted the hormone challenges and blood sample collection. S.D., S.G., S.B., P.H., and S.V. conducted the food restriction treatment. S.D., P.D., and S.L.M. carried out hormone assays. S.D. and S.G. performed the data analysis. All authors helped draft the manuscript and gave final approval for publication.

## **Funding**

This research was funded by a research grant from Arizona State University's (ASU) Graduate and Professional Students Association (SD), a National Science Foundation award [grant number IOB 1026620 to P.D.], and Roslin Institute Strategic Grant funding from the BBSRC (to S.L.M.). SD was also supported by a fellowship from ASU's Graduate College.

## **Data Accessibility**

The raw data from the study are downloadable as the electronic supplementary material.

## References

- Abu Baker, M. A. and Brown, J. S.** (2009). Patch area, substrate depth, and richness affect giving-up densities: a test with mourning doves and cottontail rabbits. *Oikos* **118**, 1721-1731.
- Baker, J. R.** (1938). The evolution of breeding seasons. In *Evolution: Essays on Aspects of Evolutionary Biology* (ed. G. B. DeBeer), pp. 161-177. Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press.
- Bauchinger, U., Van't Hof, T. and Biebach, H.** (2008). Migratory stopover conditions affect the developmental state of male gonads in garden warblers (*Sylvia borin*). *Horm. Behav.* **54**, 312-318.
- Bronson, F. H. and Heideman, P. D.** (1994). Seasonal regulation of reproduction in mammals. In *The Physiology of Reproduction* (ed. E. Knobil and J. D. Neill), pp. 541-584. New York: Raven Press.
- Buchanan, K. L., Evans, M. R. and Goldsmith, A. R.** (2003). Testosterone, dominance signalling and immunosuppression in the house sparrow, *Passer domesticus*. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **55**, 50-59.
- Ciccone, N. A., Dunn, I. C. and Sharp, P. J.** (2007). Increased food intake stimulates GnRH-I, glycoprotein hormone [alpha]-subunit and follistatin mRNAs, and ovarian follicular numbers in laying broiler breeder hens. *Domest. Anim. Endocrinol.* **33**, 62-76.
- Clarke, I. J.** (2011). Control of GnRH secretion: one step back. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* **32**, 367-375.
- Conover, W. J. and Iman, R. L.** (1981). Rank transformations as a bridge between parametric and nonparametric statistics. *Am. Stat.* **35**, 124-129.

**Davies, S. and Deviche, P.** (2014). At the crossroads of physiology and ecology: Food supply and the timing of avian reproduction. *Horm. Behav.* **66**, 41-55.

**Davies, S. and Deviche, P.** (2015). Regulation of feeding behavior and plasma testosterone in response to central neuropeptide Y administration in a songbird. *J. Exp. Zool. Part A*. Advance online publication. doi: 10.1002/jez.1943

**Davies, S., Cros, T., Richard, D., Meddle, S. L., Tsutsui, K., & Deviche, P.** (2015a). Food availability, energetic constraints, and reproductive development in a wild seasonally breeding songbird. *Funct. Ecol.* Advance online publication. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12448.

**Davies, S., Behbahania, H., Giraudeau, M., Meddle, S.L., Waite, K., & Deviche, P.** (2015b) Advanced seasonal reproductive development in a male urban bird is reflected in earlier plasma luteinizing hormone rise but not energetic status. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* Advance online publication. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2015.05.005

**Dawson, A.** (1986). The effect of restricting the daily period of food availability on testicular growth of Starlings *Sturnus vulgaris*. *Ibis* **128**, 572-575.

**Dawson, A.** (2005). The effect of temperature on photoperiodically regulated gonadal maturation, regression and moult in starlings—potential consequences of climate change. *Funct. Ecol.* **19**, 995-1000.

**Dawson, A.** (2008). Control of the annual cycle in birds: endocrine constraints and plasticity in response to ecological variability. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **363**, 1621-1633.

**Dawson, A., King, V. M., Bentley, G. E. and Ball, G. F.** (2001). Photoperiodic control of seasonality in birds. *J. Biol. Rhythms* **16**, 365-380.

**De Souza, M. J., Miller, B. E., Loucks, A. B., Luciano, A. A., Pescatello, L. S., Campbell, C. G. and Lasley, B. L.** (1998). High frequency of luteal phase deficiency and anovulation in recreational women runners: blunted elevation in follicle-stimulating hormone observed during luteal-follicular transition. *J. Clin. Endocrinol.* **83**, 4220-4232.

**Deviche, P., Sabo, J. and Sharp, P. J.** (2008). Glutamatergic stimulation of luteinising hormone secretion in relatively refractory male songbirds. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* **20**, 1191-1202.

**Deviche, P., Hurley, L. L. and Fokidis, H. B.** (2010). Avian testicular structure, function, and regulation. In *Hormones and reproduction of vertebrates* (ed. D. O. Norris and K. H. Lopez), pp. 27-70. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

**Deviche, P., Dawson, A., Sabo, J., Fokidis, B., Davies, S. and Hurley, L.** (2012a). Up to the challenge? Hormonal and behavioral responses of free-ranging male Cassin's Sparrows, *Peucaea cassinii*, to conspecific song playback. *Horm. Behav.* **61**, 741-749.

**Deviche, P., Gao, S., Davies, S., Sharp, P. J. and Dawson, A.** (2012b). Rapid stress-induced inhibition of plasma testosterone in free-ranging male rufous-winged sparrows, *Peucaea carpalis*: Characterization, time course, and recovery. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **177**, 1-8.

**DeVries, S. M., Holbrook, A. L., Winters, C. P. and Jawor, J. M.** (2011). Non-breeding gonadal testosterone production of male and female Northern Cardinals (*Cardinalis cardinalis*) following GnRH challenge. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **174**, 370-378.

**Farner, D. S. and Wingfield, J. C.** (1980). Reproductive Endocrinology of Birds. *Annual Reviews in Physiology* **42**, 457-472.

**Fokidis, H. B., Prior, N. H. and Soma, K. K.** (2013). Fasting increases aggression and differentially modulates local and systemic steroid levels in male zebra finches. *Endocrinology* **154**, 4328-4339.

**Ford, N. B. and Seigel, R. A.** (1989). Phenotypic plasticity in reproductive traits: evidence from a viviparous snake. *Ecology* **70**, 1768-1774.

**Fraley, G. S., Coombs, E., Gerometta, E., Colton, S., Sharp, P. J., Li, Q. and Clarke, I. J.** (2013). Distribution and sequence of Gonadotropin-inhibitory hormone and its potential role as a molecular link between feeding and reproductive systems in the Pekin duck (*Anas platyrhynchos domestica*). *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **184**, 103-110.

**Gittleman, J. L. and Thompson, S. D.** (1988). Energy allocation in mammalian reproduction. *Am. Zool.* **28**, 863-875.

**Hahn, T. P.** (1995). Integration of photoperiodic and food cues to time changes in reproductive physiology by an opportunistic breeder, the red crossbill, *Loxia curvirostra* (Aves: Carduelinae). *J. Exp. Zool.* **272**, 213-226.

**Hahn, T. P., Pereyra, M. E., Katti, M., Ward, G. M. and MacDougall-Shackleton, S. A.** (2005). Effects of food availability on the reproductive system. In *Functional avian endocrinology* (ed. A. Dawson and P. J. Sharp), pp. 167-180. New Delhi: Narosa.

**Hau, M., Wikelski, M. and Wingfield, J. C.** (2000). Visual and nutritional food cues fine-tune timing of reproduction in a neotropical rainforest bird. *J. Exp. Zool.* **286**, 494-504.

**Hegner, R. E. and Wingfield, J. C.** (1987). Effects of experimental manipulation of testosterone levels on parental investment and breeding success in male house sparrows. *Auk* **3**, 462-469.



**Helms, C. W. and Drury, W. H.** (1960). Winter and migratory weight and fat field studies on some North American buntings. *Bird banding* **31**, 1-40.

**Hill, J. L., Brooks-Gunn, J. and Waldfogel, J.** (2003). Sustained effects of high participation in an early intervention for low-birth-weight premature infants. *Dev. Psychol.* **39**, 730.

**Hill, J. W., Elmquist, J. K. and Elias, C. F.** (2008). Hypothalamic pathways linking energy balance and reproduction. *Am. J. Physiol. - Endocrinol. Metabol.* **294**, E827-E832.

**Hirschenhauser, K., Winkler, H. and Oliveira, R. F.** (2003). Comparative analysis of male androgen responsiveness to social environment in birds: the effects of mating system and paternal incubation. *Horm. Behav.* **43**, 508-519.

**Hoshino, S., Suzuki, M., Kakegawa, T., Imai, K., Wakita, M., Kobayashi, Y. and Yamada, Y.** (1988). Changes in plasma thyroid hormones, luteinizing hormone (LH), estradiol, progesterone and corticosterone of laying hens during a forced molt. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A* **90**, 355-359.

**Jawor, J. M., McGlothlin, J. W., Casto, J. M., Greives, T. J., Snajdr, E. A., Bentley, G. E. and Ketterson, E. D.** (2006). Seasonal and individual variation in response to GnRH challenge in male dark-eyed juncos (*Junco hyemalis*). *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **149**, 182-189.

**Jawor, J. M., McGlothlin, J. W., Casto, J. M., Greives, T. J., Snajdr, E. A., Bentley, G. E. and Ketterson, E. D.** (2007). Testosterone response to GnRH in a female songbird varies with stage of reproduction: implications for adult behaviour and maternal effects. *Funct. Ecol.* **21**, 767-775.

**Kempnaers, B., Peters, A. and Foerster, K.** (2008). Sources of individual variation in plasma testosterone levels. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* **363**, 1711-1723.

**Klentrou, P. and Plyley, M.** (2003). Onset of puberty, menstrual frequency, and body fat in elite rhythmic gymnasts compared with normal controls. *Brit. J. Sports Med.* **37**, 490-494.

**Kobayashi, M. and Ishii, S.** (2002). Effects of starvation on gonadotropin and thyrotropin subunit mRNA levels and plasma hormone levels in the male Japanese quail (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*). *Zool. Sci.* **19**, 331-342.

**Kobayashi, M., Cockrem, J. F. and Ishii, S.** (2002). Effects of starvation and refeeding on gonadotropin and thyrotropin subunit mRNAs in male Japanese quail. *Zool. Sci.* **19**, 449-461.

**Kuenzel, W. J.** (2000). Central nervous system regulation of gonadal development in the avian male. *Poult. Sci.* **79**, 1679.

**Lack, D.** (1954). *The natural regulation of animal numbers*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

**Lack, D. L.** (1968). *Ecological adaptations for breeding in birds*. London, UK: Methuen.

**Lal, P., Sharp, P. J., Dunn, I. C. and Talbot, R. T.** (1990). Absence of an effect of naloxone, an opioid antagonist, on luteinizing hormone release *in vivo* and luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone I release *in vitro* in intact, castrated, and food restricted cockerels. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **77**, 239-245.

**Landys, M. M., Goymann, W., Schwabl, I., Trapschuh, M. and Slagsvold, T.** (2010). Impact of season and social challenge on testosterone and corticosterone levels in a year-round territorial bird. *Horm. Behav.* **58**, 317-325.

**Lea, R. W., Talbot, R. T. and Sharp, P. J.** (1991). Passive immunization against chicken vasoactive intestinal polypeptide suppresses plasma prolactin and crop sac development in incubating ring doves. *Horm. Behav.* **25**, 283-294.

**Lerman, S. B., Warren, P. S., Gan, H. and Shochat, E.** (2012). Linking foraging decisions to residential yard bird composition. *PLoS One* **7**, e43497.

**Little, R. J. A. and Rubin, D. B.** (2002). *Statistical analysis with missing data*. New York, USA: Wiley.

**Lynn, S. E., Stamlis, T. B., Barrington, W. T., Weida, N. and Hudak, C. A.** (2010). Food, stress, and reproduction: short-term fasting alters endocrine physiology and reproductive behavior in the zebra finch. *Horm. Behav.* **58**, 214-222.

**Malecki, I. A., Martin, G. B., O'Malley, P. J., Meyer, G. T., Talbot, R. T. and Sharp, P. J.** (1998). Endocrine and testicular changes in a short-day seasonally breeding bird, the emu (*Dromaius novaehollandiae*), in southwestern Australia. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* **53**, 143-155.

**McGlothlin, J. W., Whittaker, D. J., Schrock, S. E., Gerlach, N. M., Jawor, J. M., Snajdr, E. A., and Ketterson, E. D.** (2010). Natural selection on testosterone production in a wild songbird population. *Am. Nat.* **175**, 687-701.

**McGuire, N. L. and Bentley, G. E.** (2010). A functional neuropeptide system in vertebrate gonads: Gonadotropin-inhibitory hormone and its receptor in testes of field-caught house sparrow (*Passer domesticus*). *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **166**, 565-572.

**McGuire, N. L., Koh, A. and Bentley, G. E.** (2013). The direct response of the gonads to cues of stress in a temperate songbird species is season-dependent. *PeerJ* **1**, e139.

**Meddle, S. L., Romero, L. M., Astheimer, L. B., Buttemer, W. A., Moore, I. T. and Wingfield, J. C.** (2002). Steroid hormone interrelationships with territorial aggression in an arctic-breeding songbird, Gambel's white-crowned sparrow, *Zonotrichia leucophrys gambelii*. *Horm. Behav.* **42**, 212-221.

**Meijer, T.** (1991). The effect of a period of food restriction on gonad size and moult of male and female starlings *Sturnus vulgaris* under constant photoperiod. *Ibis* **133**, 80-84.

**Murton, R. K. and Westwood, N. J.** (1977). *Avian Breeding Cycles*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

**O'Brien, S. and Hau, M.** (2005). Food cues and gonadal development in neotropical spotted antbirds (*Hylophylax naevii*). *J. Ornithol.* **146**, 332-337.

**Parfitt, D. B., Church, K. R. and Cameron, J. L.** (1991). Restoration of pulsatile luteinizing hormone secretion after fasting in rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*): dependence on size of the refeed meal. *Endocrinology* **129**, 749-756.

**Pérez-Rodríguez, L., Blas, J., Viñuela, J., Marchant, T. A. and Bortolotti, G. R.** (2006). Condition and androgen levels: are condition-dependent and testosterone-mediated traits two sides of the same coin? *Anim. Behav.* **72**, 97-103.

**Perfito, N., Kwong, J. M. Y., Bentley, G. E. and Hau, M.** (2008). Cue hierarchies and testicular development: Is food a more potent stimulus than day length in an opportunistic breeder (*Taeniopygia g. guttata*)? *Horm. Behav.* **53**, 567-572.

**Pyle, P.** (1997). *Identification guide to North American birds, Part 1: Columbidae to Ploceidae*: Bolinas, California. Braun-Brumfield Inc.

**Richard-Yris, M. A., Leboucher, G., Williams, J. and Garnier, D. H.** (1987). Influence of food restriction and of the presence of chicks on the reproductive system of the domestic hen. *Br. Poult. Sci.* **28**, 251-260.

**Roff, D. A.** (1983). An allocation model of growth and reproduction in fish. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **40**, 1395-1404.

**Salvante, K. G., Walzem, R. L. and Williams, T. D.** (2007). What comes first, the zebra finch or the egg: temperature-dependent reproductive, physiological and behavioural plasticity in egg-laying zebra finches. *J. Exp. Biol.* **210**, 1325-1334.

**Schafer, J. L.** (1999). *NORM: Multiple imputation of incomplete multivariate data under a normal model, version 2*. University Park, PA: Department of Statistics, Pennsylvania State University.

**Schaper, S. V., Dawson, A., Sharp, P. J., Caro, S. P. and Visser, M. E.** (2012a). Individual variation in avian reproductive physiology does not reliably predict variation in laying date. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **179**, 53-62.

**Schaper, S. V., Dawson, A., Sharp, P. J., Gienapp, P., Caro, S. P. and Visser, M. E.** (2012b). Increasing temperature, not mean temperature, is a cue for avian timing of reproduction. *Am. Nat.* **179**, E55-E69.

**Sharp, P. J.** (2005). Photoperiodic regulation of seasonal breeding in birds. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1040**, 189-199.

**Sharp, P. J., Dunn, I. C. and Talbot, R. T.** (1987). Sex differences in the LH responses to chicken LHRH-I and -II in the domestic fowl. *J. Endocrinol.* **115**, 323-331.

**Silverin, B.** (1984). Annual gonadotropin and testosterone cycles in free-living male birds. *J. Exp. Zool.* **232**, 581-587.

**Silverin, B., Kikuchi, M. and Ishii, S.** (1997). Seasonal changes in follicle-stimulating hormone in free-living great tits. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **108**, 366-373.

**Small, T. W., Sharp, P. J., Bentley, G. E., Millar, R. P., Tsutsui, K., Mura, E. and Deviche, P.** (2008a). Photoperiod-independent hypothalamic regulation of luteinizing hormone secretion in a free-living Sonoran Desert bird, the Rufous-Winged Sparrow (*Aimophila carpalis*). *Brain Behav. Evol.* **71**, 127-142.

**Small, T. W., Sharp, P. J., Bentley, G. E., Millar, R. P., Tsutsui, K., Strand, C. and Deviche, P.** (2008b). Auditory stimulation of reproductive function in male Rufous-winged Sparrows, *Aimophila carpalis*. *Horm. Behav.* **53**, 28-39.

**Tanabe, Y., Ogawa, T. and Nakamura, T.** (1981). The effect of short-term starvation on pituitary and plasma LH, plasma estradiol and progesterone, and on pituitary response to LH-RH in the laying hen (*Gallus domesticus*). *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **43**, 392-398.

**Tweit, R. C. and Finch, D. M.** (1994). Abert's towhee (*Melospiza aberti*). In *The Birds of North America* (ed. A. Poole). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology.

**Watts, H. E. and Hahn, T. P.** (2012). Non-photoperiodic regulation of reproductive physiology in the flexibly breeding pine siskin (*Spinus pinus*). *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **178**, 259-264.

**Wingfield, J. C.** (1985). Influences of weather on reproductive function in male song sparrows, *Melospiza melodia*. *J. Zool.* **205**, 525-544.

**Wingfield, J. C.** (2008). Organization of vertebrate annual cycles: implications for control mechanisms. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **363**, 425-441.

**Wingfield, J. C. and Kenagy, G. J.** (1986). Natural regulation of reproductive cycles. In *Vertebrate Endocrinology: Fundamentals and Biomedical Implications* (ed. P. K. T. Pang and M. P. Schreibman), pp. 181-241. San Diego: Academic Press.

**Wingfield, J. C. and Wada, M.** (1989). Changes in plasma levels of testosterone during male-male interactions in the song sparrow, *Melospiza melodia*: time course and specificity of response. *J. Comp. Physiol. A* **166**, 189-194.


**Wingfield, J. C., Crim, J. W., Mattocks, P. W., Jr and Farner, D. S.** (1979). Responses of photosensitive and photorefractory male white-crowned sparrows (*Zonotrichia leucophrys gambelii*) to synthetic mammalian luteinizing hormone releasing hormone (syn-LHRH). *Biol. Reprod.* **21**, 801-806.

**Wingfield, J. C., Sullivan, K., Jaxion-Harm, J. and Meddle, S. L.** (2012). The presence of water influences reproductive function in the song sparrow (*Melospiza melodia morphna*). *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **178**, 485-493.


**Zohar, Y., Muñoz-Cueto, J. A., Elizur, A. and Kah, O.** (2010). Neuroendocrinology of reproduction in teleost fish. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **165**, 438-455.




## Figures




**Fig. 1. Food availability modulated body mass (A), furcular fat (B), and pectoral muscle (C), but had no significant effect on cloacal protuberance width (D), of adult male Abert's Towhees, *Melospiza aberti*.** Towhees were either *ad libitum*-fed ( $n = 9$ ; open circles) or food restricted (70% of *ad libitum* consumption;  $n = 8$ ; filled circles). The pectoral muscle graph depicts medians and half interquartile range, whereas the remaining graphs show means  $\pm$  SEM. Points with identical letters are not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ; Tukey HSD test).




**Fig. 2.** The photostimulated increase in pre-challenge plasma luteinizing hormone and testosterone of adult male Abert's Towhees, *Melospiza aberti*, was not significantly affected by food availability. Towhees were either *ad libitum*-fed ( $n = 9$ ; open circles) or food restricted (70% of *ad libitum* consumption;  $n = 8$ ; filled circles) and initially exposed to short day lengths before being transferred to long days. Data points are means  $\pm$  SEM.



**Fig. 3. Food restriction suppressed the plasma testosterone response to a luteinizing hormone (LH) challenge, but there was no significant effect on a gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) challenge, in adult male Abert's Towhees, *Melospiza aberti*.** Towhees were either *ad libitum*-fed ( $n = 9$ ; open circles) or food restricted (70% of *ad libitum* consumption;  $n = 8$ ; filled circles), and received both a GnRH challenge (top panel) and a LH challenge (bottom panel) while exposed to short days and again while exposed to long days. Data points are means  $\pm$  SEM, and points with identical letters are not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ; Tukey HSD test).



**Fig. 4.** The increase in plasma testosterone (T) in response to gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) challenge was correlated with this increase in response to luteinizing hormone (LH) challenge in long day *ad libitum*-fed adult male Abert's Towhees, *Melospiza aberti*. By contrast, no such correlation was found in long day food-restricted towhees. Each point represents one individual. Note that the scales of the axes differ between the two panels.



**Fig. 5. Schematic representation of the experiment showing the change in photoperiod regime (solid line) and the timing of experimental challenges (arrows).** We first measured daily *ad libitum* food consumption ('*Ad libitum* intake') of each bird, then food restricted half of the birds for the remainder of the experiment by giving them 70% of daily *ad libitum* consumption per day, resulting in a 15% body mass decrease ('*Body mass decrease*'). The experimental challenges began at time 0. 'SD hormone challenges' consisted of measuring the plasma testosterone response to both GnRH and LH during short days, and 'LD hormone challenges' consisted of measuring this response during long days. Giving-up-density ('GUD trials') was measured as birds were transferred to long days.